## Release notes for ENDF/B Development n-004\_Be\_009 evaluation



April 26, 2017

## • fizcon Errors:

1. The cross section and an outgoing distribution don't span the same energy region. MAT = 425, MF = 6, MT = 16 (1): Diff limits (a)

ERROR(S) FOUND IN MAT= 425, MF= 6, MT= 16
SECTION DOES NOT SPAN THE SAME ENERGY RANGE AS FILE 3, MT= 16

## • fudge-4.0 Warnings:

1. Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections  $crossSectionSum\ label\ 0:\ total\ (Error\ \#\ 0):\ CS\ Sum.$ 

WARNING: Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections! Max diff: 2.13%

2. Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections crossSectionSum label 1: nonelastic (Error # 0): CS Sum.

WARNING: Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections! Max diff: 35.97%

3. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 0 (total): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (5.844123e-09) is too small

4. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 2 (n[multiplicity:'2'] + He4[multiplicity:'2']): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

5. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes. Section 4((z,p)): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

6. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes. Section 5 ((z,d)): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

7. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes. Section 6 ((z,t)): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

8. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes. Section  $\gamma$  ((z, alpha)): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

9. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 11 (H3 + (Li7\_e1 -> Li7 + photon)): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

- fudge-4.0 Errors:
  - 1. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.

    reaction label 1: n[multiplicity:'2'] + He4[multiplicity:'2'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -3603524.458434105 eV vs -1.5728e6 eV!

2. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range reaction label 1: n[multiplicity:'2'] + He4[multiplicity:'2'] / Product: n / Distribution: / angularEnergy - XYs3d: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (1749400.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (1748830.0 -> 200000000.0)

3. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range reaction label 1: n[multiplicity:'2'] + He4[multiplicity:'2'] / Product: He4 / Distribution: / angularEnergy - XYs3d: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (1749400.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (1748830.0 -> 20000000.0)

4. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 2: H1 + Li9 (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -13323838.08342934 eV vs -1.283e7 eV!

5. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 3: H2 + Li8 (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -15158809.19356251 eV vs -1.466e7 eV!

6. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 4: H3 + Li7 (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -10930764.61588192 eV vs -1.044e7 eV!

7. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 5:  $H3 + (Li7\_e1 -> Li7 + photon)$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -11408764.61588192 eV vs -1.0918e7 eV!

8. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 6: He4 + He6 (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -2626371.757580757 eV vs -6.e5 eV!

• njoy2012 Warnings:

- 1. This nuclide has no URR and NJOY is upset about it unresr...calculation of unresolved resonance cross sections (0): No URR
  - ---message from unresr---mat  $\,$  425 has no resonance parameters copy as is to nout
- 2. This nuclide has no URR and NJOY is upset about it purr...probabalistic unresolved calculation (0): No URR
  - ---message from purr---mat 425 has no resonance parameters copy as is to nout
- xsectplotter Errors:
  - 1. Exception Attribute Error was thrown (Error # 3): Attribute Error

AttributeError: 'str' object has no attribute 'name'